

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PEGION 6

1449 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE DOI: DOI:148 1F3AS 75202 2733

CHECKLIST FOR THE CONTENTS OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS)

EIS Tit	le:	
Respons	ible Agency:	Date Received:
COMDATE	No:	Due Date:
Date of	EIS:	Reviewer:
Draft _	Revised Draft	Supplement to Draft
Final _	Supplement to Final	Other
	TABLE OF CONTI	ENTS
Part A. 1. 2. 3.	THE PHYSICAL DOCUMENT Format in general Nonstandard format Length	
Part B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	COMPONENTS OF THE EIS Cover Sheet	
Part C. 1. 2.	SUBJECTIVE EIS STANDARDS What the EIS is What the EIS does	
1.	DRAFT AND FINAL EISS Draft EIS	

HOW TO READ AN EIS

An EIS asks and answers 6 questions:

- 1. WHAT ACTIONS ARE PROPOSED? The answer is in the "Alternatives including the proposed action" section of the EIS. One alternative may be called the proposed action or "preferred" alternative. Or there may be a range of alternatives with none preferred over the others. The environmental impacts of the proposed action are described in the same section and in the "Environmental consequences" section.
- 2. WHY IS ACTION PROPOSED? The answer is in the "Purpose and Need" section of the EIS. This is the **problem** or the **opportunity** to which the agency is responding. This could be called the "What's missing, what's broken" section.
- 3. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF NO ACTION WERE TAKEN? The answer is the "no action" alternative in the "Alternatives including the proposed action section." This alternative describes the problem or opportunity without any new action being taken.
- 4. IS THERE ANY OTHER WAY TO ACCOMPLISH WHAT WAS EXPECTED OF THE PROPOSED ACTION? These are the "other reasonable courses of action" in the "Alternatives including the proposed action" section of the EIS. All reasonable alternatives that would meet the underlying need are presented here in at least some level of detail. The environmental impacts of the alternatives are described in the same section and in the "Environmental consequences" section.
- 5. IS THERE ANY OTHER WAY TO ACCOMPLISH WHAT WAS EXPECTED WITH LESS ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT? These are the "mitigation measures" in the "Alternatives including the proposed action" section of the EIS. All reasonable ways to avoid, minimize, rectify, restore, reduce, and compensate adverse environmental effects are in this section.
- 6. WHAT FACTORS WILL BE USED WHEN DECIDING BETWEEN ALTERNATIVES? These are the "purposes" in the "Purposes and needs" section of the EIS. These are the goals the agency wishes to achieve while meeting the underlying need. There are almost always 3 major kinds of purposes, or decision factors: economics, engineering, and environment.

Very specific comments do the most good. Please give chapter and page references to the EIS. Constructive suggestions are the most useful--tell us exactly what it is that you want us to do. Thank you!

		7 - 01 1 -	BOCOLIDIVI		
1.	Forn	nat i	<u>n general.</u> 1502.10;	1500.4(e)	
	1.1	Doe	s the EIS follow the	standard EIS	S format:
		(a)	Cover sheet? 1502.1		page(s)
		(b)	Summary? 1502.10(b)	yes/no	page(s)
		(c)	Table of contents?	yes/no	page(s)
		(d)	Purpose of and need		1502.10(d) page(s)
			Alternatives includ:	ing the propo	sed action?
		130	02.10(e)	yes/no	page(s)
		(f)	Affected environment		page(s)
		(g)	Environmental consec		.10(g) page(s)
		(h)	List of preparers?	1502.10(h) yes/no	page(s)
		(i)	List of agencies, or copies of the state	ment are sent	and persons to whom 2? 1502.10(i) page(s)
		(j)	Index? 1502.10(jyes/	'no pa	age(s)
		(k)	Appendices, if any?		page(s)
1.2	Are	thes	e sections in the ord	_	.502.10 page(s)

1.3 Are these the only sections (i.e., Does the EIS have no sections in addition to those of the standard format) 1502.10

,	, ,
yes/no	page(s)
-	

2.	part shou	standard format. If none of the answers to the questions in a labove were "no", the format is okay and the reviewer ald skip this part and go to part 3. If any answer is "no" wer the questions in this part.
	2.1	Does the EIS state a compelling reason for not following the standard EIS format? 1502.10 yes/no page(s)
	2.2	Does the nonstandard format encourage (i) good analysis and (ii) clear presentation of the alternatives including the proposed action? 1502.10; 1500.4(e) yes/no page(s)
	2.3	Does the nonstandard format include the sections required by $1502.10(a)$, (b), (c), (h), (i) and (j), and the substance of $1502.10(d)$, (e), (f), (g) and (k)? 1501.10 yes/no page(s)
3.	Leng	th.
	3.1	Is the text of the EIS (the sections required by 1502.10(d), (e), (f), and (g, less than 150 pages? 1502.7 yes/no page(s)
	3.2	If the text of the EIS exceed 150 pages, are the reasons given why this EIS is of unusual scope or complexity? 1502.7
		yes/no page(s)
	3.3	Is the text of the EIS less than 300 pages? yes/no page(s)
	3.4	If the EIS is unusually long (usually meaning 150 to 300 pages), does the EIS explain that the summary will be circulated instead of the entire EIS? $1500.4(h)$ yes/no page(s)
	3.5	Is the EIS no longer than the page limits set during the scoping process? 1501.7(b)(1) yes/no page(s)
	3.6	Subjective length standards. Is the EIS
		(a) Concise? 1502(2)(c); 1502.1 yes/no page(s)

	(b)	No longer than abso	regulations? 1	
	(c)	In proportionate le environmental probl 1502.2(c)		
			yes/no	page(s)
PART B.	СОМРО	NENTS OF THE EIS		
1. Cover	sheet	.•		
1.1	Does t	he cover sheet incl	ude:	
	(a)	A list of the response	erating agenci	s including the lead es? 1502.11(a) page(s)
	(b)	The title of the pr		
	(c)	The titles of relat appropriate? 1502.	ed cooperating	<pre>page(s) agency actions, if page(s)</pre>
	(d)	The names of the St jurisdiction, if ap located? 1502.11(kg	oplicable) wher	e the actions are
			yes/no	page(s)
	(e)	The name, address, at the agency who of 1502.11(c)	-	number of the person her information?
			yes/no	page(s)
	(f)	A designation of the draft or final supp		
	(g)	A one-paragraph abs 1502.11(d)	_	
			yes/no	page(s)
	(h)	The date by which of 1502.11(f)	comments must b	e received?
			yes/no	page(s)

2.	Summ	<u>umary</u>					
	2.1	Does the summary stress					
		(a) The major conclusions in the EIS? 1502.12 yes/no page(s)					
		<pre>(b) Areas of controversy (including issues raised by agencies and the public)? 1502.12</pre>					
		<pre>(c) Issues to be resolved (including the choice among alternatives)? 1502.12</pre>					
	2.2	Does the summary (i) adequately and (ii) accurately summarize the statement (i.e., Could the summary stand on its own if it were circulated without the rest of the statement)? 1502.12;					
		yes/no page(s)					
	2.3	Is the summary 15 pages or less? 1502.12 yes/no page(s)					
3.	<u>Tabl</u>	e of contents.					
	3.1	Is the table of contents accurate? 1502.10(c) yes/no page(s)					
4.	Purp	ose of and need for action.					
	4.1	Does the statement specify the underlying need to which the agency is responding in proposing the alternatives including the proposed action ("need" is defined as (i) the lack of something requisite, desirable, or useful, or (ii) a condition requiring supply or relief)? 1502.13 yes/no page(s)					
	4.2	Does the statement specify the underlying purposes to which the agency is responding in proposing the alternatives including the proposed action ("purpose" is defined as (i) a goal or (ii) an end to be attained)? 1502.13					
		yes/no page(s)					
5.	Alte:	rnatives including the proposed action.					

	5.1	Does this section of th	e EIS include	
	(a)	All reasonable alternat		(a) page(s)
	(b)	Reasons why alternative detailed study were eli-	minated? 1502	
	(c)	Reasonable alternatives lead agency? 1502.14(c)	e jurisdiction of the page(s)
	(d)	The "no action" alterna need")? 1502.14(d)	tive (defined a	as "not meeting the
			yes/no	page(s)
	(e)	A statement on whether agency's preferred alte	rnative(s)? 1	
	(f)	If the agency has a pre of that alternative? 1	502.14(e)	tive, identification page(s)
	(g)	Appropriate mitigation the proposed action or	alternatives?	
5.2	info Envi	he material presented in rmation and analysis pre ronment section and (ii) ion? 1502.14	sented in (i)	the Affected
	beee	1302.11	yes/no	page(s)
5.3	Subj	ective standards. Does	this section o	f the EIS:
	(a)	Present the environment alternative in comparat	ive form? 150	
	(b)	Sharply define the issu choice among options?	1502.14	a clear basis for page(s)
	(c)	Rigorously explore and alternatives? 1502.14(a)	aluate all reasonable page(s)
			•	
6.	<u>Affec</u>	<u>cted environment</u> .		

	6.1	Does this section of the EIS descri the areas to be affected or created under consideration? 1502.15	
			page(s)
	6.2	Subjective standards. Is this sect	cion:
		(a) No longer than necessary to un the alternatives? 1502.15	
		yes/no	page(s)
		(b) Written to a level of detail of importance of the impact, with material summarized, consolidate references? 1502.15	less important
		yes/no	page(s)
		(c) Succinct? 1502.5	
		yes/no	page(s)
7.	this	onmental consequences. This first part derives from section 1502.16 cations.	-
	7.1	Does this section of the EIS consol required by NEPA Sections 102(2)(C) (v), specifically (see also 1502.10	(i), (ii), (iv), and
	(a)	The environmental impacts of the al the proposed action? 1502.16; NEPA yes/no	_
	(b)	Any adverse environmental effects washould the proposal be implemented? yes/no	
	(c)	The relationship between short-term environment and the maintenance and term productivity? 1502.16; NEPA 1	l enhancement of long-
	(d)	Any (i) irreversible or (ii) irretresources which would be involved int be implemented? 1502.16; NEPA Someon.	n the proposal should
7.2	Does	this section of the EIS include dis	scussions of
	(2)	Direct effects and their significar	nge2 1502 16(a)

yes/no	page(s)
<u> </u>	-

(b)	Indirect	effects	and	their	significand	e?	1502.16(b)
				yes	s/no	pag	e(s)

(c) The environmental effects of alternatives including the proposed action? 1502.16(d)

yes/no page(s)_____

- (d) Urban quality? 1502.16(g) yes/no/na page(s)______
- (f) Means to mitigate adverse environmental impacts if not fully covered under the "alternatives including the proposed action" section? 1502.16(h) yes/no page(s)______
- 7.3 Does this section of the EIS include discussion of possible conflicts between the proposed action and the objectives of (i) Federal and (ii) (in the case of a reservation) Indian tribe land-use plans, policies and controls for the area concerned? 1502.16(c)

yes/no page(s)_____

7.4 Does this section of the EIS state how alternatives considered in it and decisions based on it will or will not achieve the requirements of sections 101 and 102(1) of NEPA and other environmental laws and policies? 1502.1(d)

yes/no page(s)_____

This next set of questions in this part derives environmental statutes and regulations apart from NEPA and the CEQ regulations. The basis for these questions is (1) 1502.2(c), 1500.4(k), and 1500.5(g), which require that environmental review laws and executive orders be integrated with EISs to the fullest extent possible; (2) 1508.27, which lists most environmental statutes and regulations as relevant to the determination of "significance"; 3) 1502.16(a) and (b), which require that environmental effects "and their significance" be included in this section of an EIS; and (4) 1502.2(e), which requires that an EIS state how the alternatives achieve the requirements of environmental laws and policies.

7.5		angered Species Act. Doe ther the alternatives inc					
	(a)	May affect a listed end ESA 7(a)(2)	langered or thr	eatened species?			
			yes/no	page(s)			
	(b)	May adversely modify a	critical habit	at? ESA 7(a)(2)			
			yes/no	page(s)			
	(c)	May result in construct ESA 7(c)	ion and/or a c	onstruction contract?			
			yes/no	page(s)			
<u>Heri</u>	tage	conservation					
7.6		s this section of the EIS cluding the proposed acti					
	(a) Access to religious sites or ceremonial rites of the						
		Native American?	yes/no	page(s)			
	(b)	A property listed on th	e National Reg	ister of Historic			
		114002	yes/no	page(s)			
	(c)	c) A property eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?					
			yes/no	page(s)			
	(d)	A property listed on th Landmarks?	e National Reg	istry of Natural			
			yes/no	page(s)			
	(e)	A property listed as a	National Histo	ric Landmark?			
			yes/no	page(s)			
	(f)	A property listed on th	e World Herita	ge List?			
			yes/no	page(s)			
7.7	incl remo	s this section of the EIS luding the proposed action, oval, damage, alteration, ource located on public l fee title to which is he	on may include or defacing o ands or on Ind eld by the Unit	the excavation, f any archeological ian lands or on lands			

State and local program consistency

7.8	If t	ne alternatives including	g the proposed	action include (i)
	final this cons	ct Federal development, on a Fencial assistance, or a Fence section of the EIS state istent to the maximum extended plans and program?	ederal assistare whether the action feasible was 1502.16(c);	nce program, does alternatives are vith State, areawide,
			7007110	Page (2)
7.9	cons	he alternatives including istent or compatible with programs, does this sections are inconsistency or in	n State, areaw: ion of the EIS	ide, and local plans explain any
			yes/no	page(s)
Coast	tal m	anagement program consis	tency	
7.10	deve	ne alternatives including lopment project, or (ii) EIS state whether the alt	an activity, o	
	(a)	Directly affect the coas		page(s)
	(b)	Are listed in an approve		
		requiring a consistency		page(s)
	(c)	Have been monitored by a determination?	a State as requ	uiring a consistency
			yes/no	page(s)
	(d)	Are the same as or simil activities for which a oprepared in the past?		
		Free mreament and Free free free free free free free free	yes/no	page(s)
	(e)	Were already subject to assessment"?	a "thorough co	onsistency
			yes/no	page(s)
7.11		ne alternatives including		

development project, does this section of the EIS state whether the development project is "in the coastal zone"?

7.12	including the proposed action require (i) a consistency determination, or (ii) a negative determination?						
		yes/no	page(s)				
manag Feder	Floodplains and wetla questions, the term "ging, or disposing of rally undertaken, finativements; and Federal use.	action" is defined a federal lands and fa nced, or assisted co	s acquiring, cilities; nstruction and				
7.13	Does this section of including the propose on a floodplain?						
	on a ricoapiam.	yes/no	page(s)				
7.14	Does this section of including the propose						
		yes/no	page(s)				
7.15	Farmlands. Does this alternatives includin which convert farmlan	g the proposed actio ds to other uses?					
7.16	Recreation resources. whether the alternati						
	(a) May adversely aff the National wild and		m was established?				
	(b) Are incompatible the National Tra	·	purposes of a part of page(s)				
	(c) Affect Forest Se	rvice or BLM wilderno yes/no					
		rklands, ecologically cologically recreation ace?					
	estilette importal	yes/no	page(s)				
<u>Permi</u>	ts						

7.17 Does this section of the EIS state whether the alternatives including the proposed action include

	(a)	A structure or work in, of the United States?		
			yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	A structure or work afformation of the structure having an impossible water)?	ission line und United States :	der or over a is considered to be a
			yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	The deposit of fill mate manner alters or modified or capacity of a navigal	es the course, ble water of th	location, condition,
7.18	incl	this section of the EIS uding the proposed actio opriation (Refuse) Act S	n require a Ri [.] ection 10 perm	vers and arbors
7.19	incl	this section of the EIS uding the proposed actio ill material into waters	n include the of the United	discharge of dredge
7.20	incl	this section of the EIS uding the proposed action rol (Clean Water) Act se	n require a Fe ction 404 perm	deral Water Pollution
7.21	incl	this section of the EIS uding the proposed actio (NPS, FS,BLM, or FWS)?	n include a ri	
			7 00, 110	P@30(0)
7.22	incl	this section of the EIS uding the proposed actio cy right-of-way permit?	n require a Fed 1502.25(b)	deral land management
			yes/110	page(s)
7.23	alte poli	n policy. Does this sec rnatives including the p cy or program initiative an individual project),	roposed action (new or change	include a major ed program or policy,

other communities?

Pollution control

7		24	Α	i	r	
/	_	7.4		ᆂ	_	•

Does this section of the EIS state whether the alternatives including the proposed action

(a) Are affected by National Ambient air quality Standards (primary and secondary)?

> page(s)_____ yes/no

(b) Conform to EPA-approved State Implementation Plans?

yes/no page(s)_____

(c) Are affected by new Source Performance Standards?

page(s)____ yes/no

(d) Are affected by the Class I designation of any area?

yes/no page(s)_____

(e) Are affected by National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?

yes/no page(s)_____

(f) Are affected by emission limitations in any Air Quality Control Region?

yes/no page(s)_____

7.25 Water.

Does this section of the EIS state whether the alternatives including the proposed action

Include nontransportation-related facilities which due to their location could reasonably be expected to spill oil into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or adjoining shorelines?

yes/no page(s)_____

(b) Are affected by effluent guidelines and standards?

yes/no page(s)_____

(c) Are affected by water quality standards?

yes/no page(s)_____

7.26 Hazardous waste.

	ernat	this section of the EIS ives including the propo r State guidelines or re	sed action are	affected by
	(a)	The generation of hazard		page(s)
	(b)	The transportation of ha		page(s)
	(c)	The treatment, storage,	or disposal of	hazardous waste?
			yes/no	page(s)
inc	Does ludin e gui	d waste. this section of the EIS g the proposed action ar delines or regulations r	e affected by Despecting	Federal or
	(a)	The procurement of recyc	cled or recycla	able products?
			yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	_	nd recycling of	recyclable
		products?	yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	Solid waste storage?	yes/no	page(s)
	(d)	Solid waste transport?	yes/no	page(s)
	(e)	Solid waste disposal?	yes/no	page(s)
	Does	king water. this section of the EIS the proposed action	state whether	the alternatives
	(a)	Are affected by the Nat: Water Regulations (EPA of		_
		primacy)?	yes/no	page(s)

(b) Are affected by the National Secondary Drinking Water

Regulations (EPA or a State which has assumed primacy)?

yes/no

page(s)_____

		yes/no	page(s)
7.29 Nois	e .		
	this section of the EIS		
standards	g the proposed action ar	e affected by i	noise
scandarus	•	yes/no	page(s)
7.30 Pest			
	this section of the EIS g the proposed action	state whether	the alternatives
(a)	Are affected by regulat: pesticides and pesticide		orage and disposal of
	_		page(s)
(b)	Are affected by regulat: pesticides?	ions on the pur	chase and use of
	pesciciaes.	yes/no	page(s)
includin	this section of the EIS g the proposed action ar ms on the use, marking,	e affected by 1	EPA
		yes/no	page(s)
7.32 Ener	gy conservation at Feder	al facilities.	
Does	this section of the EIS the proposed action inc	state whether	the alternatives
(a)	The operation, maintenar Federal building?	nce, or retrofi	t of an existing
		yes/no	page(s)
(b)	The construction or leas	se of a new Fed	leral building?
		yes/no	page(s)
()			
(0)	Federal agency operation		page(s)
of the CE 1502.16(a	set of questions in thi Q's regulations. The ba) and (b), which require hs of environmental effe	sis for these of that this sect	questions is tion of an EIS include

(c) Affect a sole-source aquifer?

7.33	Cont	ext.		
	Are	the alternatives includi	ng the proposed	d action analyzed
	(a)	In several contexts, sucaffected region, the aff 1508.27(a)		
			yes/no	page(s)
7.35		nsity. the alternatives includi:	ng the proposed	d action analyzed:
	(a)	For (i) beneficial and (npacts? 1508.27(b)(1) page(s)
	(b)	For effects on public sa	afety? 1508.27(b)(2)
			yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	For the degree to which significant scientific r		
			yes/no	page(s)
7.36		nsity. this section of the EIS	state whether	:
	(a)	The effects on the quali		
			yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	The possible effects on uncertain or involve unit 1508.27(b)(5)		
			yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	The action may establish with significant effects principle about a future	or represents	s a decision in
			yes/no	page(s)
	(d)	The action is related to insignificant but cumula (significance cannot be temporary or by breaking parts)? 1508.27(b)(7)	atively signifi avoided by ter	cant impacts
			yes/no	page(s)

	8.1	Does this section of the EIS list (i) the names and (ii) the qualifications (expertise, experience, professional discipline) of persons who were primarily responsible for preparation of (a) The EIS? 1502.17 yes/no page(s)
		(b) Significant background papersP507.17
		yes/no page(s)
		<pre>(c) A particular analysis (including analysis in background papers)?</pre>
		yes/110 page(s)
	8.2	Does this section of the EIS indicate that the agency employed editors (or writers of clear prose) to write, review, or edit the EIS?1502.8
		yes/no page(s)
	8.3	Is this section of the EIS two pages or less? 1502.17
		yes/no page(s)
9.		of agencies, organizations, and persons to whom copies of statement are sent.
	9.1	Does this section of the EIS show that the statement will be circulated to;
	(a)	Any Federal agency which has jurisdiction by law (1508.15) with respect to any environmental impact involved? yes/no page(s)
	(b)	Any Federal agency which has special expertise (1508.26) with respect to any environmental impact involved? 1502.19(a); NEPA 102(2)(C)
		yes/no page(s)
	(c)	Any appropriate Federal, State, or local agency authorized to develop and enforce environmental
		standards1502.19(a); NEPA 102(2)(C)
		yes/no page(s)
	(d)	The applicant (defined as private applicants sponsoring a proposal), if any? 1502.19(b)

						yes/no		page(s)		
	(e)	Any	person,	organi:	zatio	n, or age	ency re	equesting	g the	
		_	_	1502.19		_	_	_		
						yes/no		page(s)		
	(f)	agen							zation, or n the draft	
9.2	fina appe	l EIS ndice	s is bei s, 1502	ng circ	ulate (ii)	show that d except unchange tements,	at the for (: ed stat	i) certa: tements, 4(h)? 150	draft and in 1503.4(c);	
10.	<u>Inde</u>	<u>x</u> .	and the rule, the started species	e Checkl the Inde atement, s, flood	list o ex sho such	of 16 is ould cont n as "coa	being ain al stal z nal Re	prepared l key wo ones, en gister c	ords used on dangered of Historic	
11.	<u>Appe</u>	ndix	(if any	·)_						
	<pre>11.1 If there is an appendix, does it consist of material prepared in connection with the EIS (as distinct from material which is not so prepared and which is incorporated by reference)? 1502.18(a)</pre>									
	11.2	If t	here is	an appe	endix	,				
		(a)		t substa 1502.18(te any an	alysis	fundame	ental to the	e
						yes/no		page(s)		
		(b)		(i) anal made? 1			relev	ant to t	he decision	n
						yes/no		page(s)		
	11.3					availabl	e on r	request?	culated wit	

PART C. SUBJECTIVE EIS STANDARDS

1. Is the EIS

	(a)	•	he point? 150:	2.1; 1502.2(c);
		1500.2(b)	yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	Supported by evidence to necessary environmental		
			yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	Analytic rather than en		502.2(a); 1500.4(b) page(s)
	(d)	Written in plain languathat decisionmakers and it? 1502.8; 1500.4(d)		
			yes/no	page(s)
2.	Does	the EIS		
	(a)	Provide a full and fair environmental impacts?	1502.1	significant page(s)
	(b)	Inform decisionmakers as alternatives which would or enhance the quality 1500.2(e)	nd the public od d avoid or min:	of the reasonable imize adverse impacts
			yes/no	page(s)
	(c)	Discuss impacts in proponly briefly discuss ot 1502.2(b); 1500.1(b); 1	her than signi: 500.4(c); 1501	ficant issues?
	(d)	Encompass the range of ultimate agency decision		-
			yes/no	page(s)
	(e)	Serve as a means of ass proposed agency actions already made? 1502.2(g	rather than ju	ustifying decisions
			yes/no	page(s)
	(f)	Emphasize portions that the public and reduce en 1500.4(f)		
			yes/no	page(s)
	(g)	Identify environmental	effects and val	lues in adequate

				ey can be 01.2(b)	compared to	o economic and technical
		anaryb	CD. 10	,01.2(5)	yes/no	page(s)
	(h)	polici	es and	goals def	fined in NE	e to ensure that the PA are infused into the the agency? 1502.1
					yes/no	page(s)
PART	D.	QUESTIC	NS REL	ATING TO	DRAFT AND F	INAL EISs
1.	EISs is a	and EI	S draft EIS or	supplement	ents. If t	draft EISs, revised draft he document being reviewed t, skip this part and go
	1.1				or alternat	the agency has a tives? 1502.14(e) page(s)
	1.2		he draf		entify such	ternative or alternatives, alternative(s)?
					yes/no	page(s)
	1.3	other	entitle			ral permits, licenses and obtained in implementing
		(a) Se	ection	10 (navig	gable water	crossing) permits?
					yes/no	page(s)
		(b) Se	ection	404 (dred	lge and fill yes/no) permits? page(s)
		(c) F	ederal	land (rig	ght-of-way) yes/no	permits? page(s)
			rchaeol ct) per	_		otection Act (Antiquities
					yes/no	page(s)
		(e) O	thers?	1502.25(b) yes/no	page(s)
	1.4					eral permit, license or does the draft EIS so

(a) Fulfill and satisfy to the fullest extent possible the requirements established for final EISs in section 102(2)(C) of NRPA? 1502.9(a) Ves/no page(s) (b) Disclose and discuss at appropriate points all major points of view on the environmental impacts of the alternatives including the proposed action? 1502.9(a) Yes/no page(s) 1.6 Subjective standards. Is the draft EIS (a) Prepared in accordance with the scope decided upon in the scoping process? 1502.9(a) Yes/no page(s) (b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? yes/no page(s) (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 Yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does not complete the control of the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does not control of					yes/no	page(s)
the requirements established for final EISs in section 102(2)(C) of NEPA? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) (b) Disclose and discuss at appropriate points all major points of view on the environmental impacts of the alternatives including the proposed action? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 1.6 Subjective standards. Is the draft EIS (a) Prepared in accordance with the scope decided upon in the scoping process? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) (b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? 1502.25(b) yes/no page(s) (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EIS and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)?		1.5	Subj	ective standards.	Does the draft	EIS
(b) Disclose and discuss at appropriate points all major points of view on the environmental impacts of the alternatives including the proposed action? 1502.9(a) Yes/no page(s) 1.6 Subjective standards. Is the draft EIS (a) Prepared in accordance with the scope decided upon in the scoping process? 1502.9(a) Yes/no page(s) (b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHFA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? environmental review laws and executive orders? (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) Yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 Yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, 1502.14(e)			(a)	the requirements	established for of NEPA? 1502	final EISs in .9(a)
(a) Prepared in accordance with the scope decided upon in the scoping process? 1502.9(a) yes/no (b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? yes/no (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.25(b) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative or alternatives, 1502.14(e)			(b)	points of view on alternatives incl	uss at appropria the environmen	ate points all major tal impacts of the
(a) Prepared in accordance with the scope decided upon in the scoping process? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s)					yes/no	page(s)
the scoping process? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) (b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? yes/no page(s) (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)	1.6	Subj	ectiv	ve standards. Is t	he draft EIS	
(b) To the fullest extent possible prepared concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? 1502.25(b) yes/no page(s) (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)			(a)	_		scope decided upon in
concurrently with and integrated with environmental impact analyses and related surveys and studies required by the NHPA, ESA, and oher environmental review laws and executive orders? 1502.25(b) yes/no page(s) (c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)					yes/no	page(s)
(c) So inadequate as to preclude meaningful analysis? 1502.9(a) yes/no page(s) 2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)			(b)	concurrently with environmental imparts and stand oher	and integrated act analyses and tudies required envi:	with d related surveys by the NHPA, ESA, ronmental review laws
2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)				yes/no pag	ge(s)	
2. Final EISs. This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)			(c)		to preclude mea	ningful analysis?
This part applies only to final EISs and EIS final supplements. 2.1 Is the text of the final EIS less than 150 pages (less than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)				(33)	yes/no	page(s)
than 300 pages for proposals of unusual scope or complexity)? 1502.7 yes/no page(s) 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)		This	part		final EISs and E	EIS final
 2.2 Does the final EIS state whether the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e) 		2.1	than	300 pages for pro		
preferred alternative or alternatives? 1502.14(e) yes/no page(s) 2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)					yes/no	page(s)
2.3 If the agency has a preferred alternative or alternatives, does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)		2.2				
does the final EIS identify such alternative(s)? 1502.14(e)					yes/no	page(s)
		2.3	does	the final EIS ide		
			T202	14(<i>e)</i>	yes/no	page(s)

indicate? 1502.25(b)

2.4		agency must respond in eived on the draft EIS		
	view and	Discuss at appropriate which was not adequate indicate the agency's 2.9(b)	ately discusse	d in the draft EIS
	1302		yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	collectively? 1503.	.4(a)	<pre>individually and page(s)</pre>
	(c)	Have attached to it summaries thereof if exceptionally voluming whether or not the conditional discussion the EIS? 1503.4(b)	all substanti the comments inous), receiv comment is tho on by the agen	ve comments (or have been ed on the draft EIS ught to merit cy in the text of
		3	yes/no	page(s)
	(d)	possible means: (1) alternatives not preconsideration; (3) sanalyses; (4) make fexplain why no furth 1503.4(a)	modify altern eviously given supplement, im factual correc ner response i	atives; (2) develop serious prove, or modify the tions; and (5) s warranted?
		7	yes/no	page(s)
2.5	any	s the final EIS indica person, organization stantive comments on	, or agency wh the draft? 15	ich submitted
2.6	If a	a comment does not war	rrant further	agency response
	(a)	Did the agency attacrather than rewrite 1500.4(m)		
		7	yes/no	page(s)
	(b)	Are the comments, the circulated rather the 1503.4(c); 1500.4(m)	nan the entire)	_
		-	y CD / 110	Γα2C (p)
	(c)	Is the entire docume as a final EIS? 150	03.4(c)	
			yes/no	page(s)



PEGION 6 1449 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 1201 DOLLAS TEXAS 75202 2233

CHECKLIST FOR THE CONTENTS OF A RECORD OF DECISION (ROD)

Reco	rd of Decision title:
Fina	l EIS Title (if different from above ROD title):
Revi	ewer:
	ATE: Date Received:
Date	of Final EIS: Date of ROD:
DECI	SION
_	
1.	Does the ROD state what the decision was? 1505.2(a)
	yes/no page(s)
2.	Does the ROD identify all alternatives considered in reaching the decision? 1505.2(b)
	yes/no page(s)
3.	Does the ROD specify which alternative or alternatives were considered to be environmentally preferable, and why? 1505.2(b) yes/no page(s)
4.	Does the ROD (i) identify and (ii) discuss all relevant factors including any essential considerations of national policy which were balanced by the agency in making its decision? 1505.2(b) yes/no page(s)
5.	Does the ROD state how those factors identified and discussed in question 4 entered into the decision? 1505.2(b) yes/no page(s)
6.	If the chosen alternative was not an environmentally preferable alternative, does the ROD state why an environmentally preferable alternative was not chosen? 1505.2(b); 1500.2(f) yes/no page(s)
MITI	GATION
7	Doog the DOD state whether all was the sale was to seed a see
7.	Does the ROD state whether all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm from the alternative selected have been adopted?
	yes/no page(s)

8.	minimize environmental harm for were identified in the EIS but 1505.2(c)	the alter	native selected which
		yes/no	page(s)
9.	Does the ROD state the reasons identified in question 8 were n	ot adopted	_
MONI	TORING AND ENFORCEMENT		
10.	Does the ROD state whether a mo is applicable for any mitigatio	n? 1505.2	
11.	Does the ROD state whether any enforcement program has been ad	opted? 15	
12.	Does the ROD summarize monitori which have been adopted? 1505.	2(c)	orcement programs page(s)
MISC	ELLANEOUS		
13.	Is the ROD concise? 1505.2	yes/no	page(s)
14.	Does the ROD state on its face available? 1505.2	how it wil	l be made publicly
		yes/no	page(s)
15.	Does the ROD state on its face until the later of the followin after publication of the notice (30) days after publication of 1506.10(b)	g dates: for a dra	(1) ninety (90) days ft EIS; (2) thirty
		yes/no	page(s)
16.	(a) Heritage conservation. If taking an action which would ad eligible for the National Regis ROD state that (1) that a memor prepared between (i) the federal	versely af ter of His andum of a	fect a property on or toric Places, does the greement has been

Historic Preservation Officer and (iii) the Executive Director of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and (2) that the terms of the memorandum of agreement will be carried out?

36	CFR	800.4(e)	and	800.6(c)(3)
----	-----	----------	-----	-------------

yes/no page(s) _____

(b) <u>State and local plans and programs.</u> If any of the alternatives include taking an action which is direct Federal development and/or federal assistance, does the ROD explain (1) how State and local agencies will be notified of actions taken (implementing, timing, postponement, abandonment, etc.), and (2) any actions taken contrary to State and local agency recommendations?

- (c) <u>Coastal zones.</u> If the decision is or includes taking an action which (1) is a development project in the coastal zone, (2) directly affects the coastal zone, (3) is listed in an approved coastal management program as requiring a consistency determination, (4) has been monitored by a State as requiring a consistency determination, (5) is the same as or similar to actions for which a consistency determination has been prepared in the past, or (6) has been subject to a thorough consistency assessment, does the ROD state that State coastal management agencies have been provided with consistency information at least 90 days prior to the date of the decision, or that both the Federal agency and the State agency have agreed to an alternative period? 15 CFR 930.34(b) and 930.41(c)
- (d) **Floodplains.** If the decision is or includes taking an action in a floodplain, does the ROD include (1) an explanation of why "the policies set forth in [the floodplains Executive Order] requires siting in a floodplain", and (2) statement that the action is designed or modified "to minimize potential harm to or within the floodplain" (consistent with agencies implementing procedures)? Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, Section 2(a)(2) (42 FR 26951, May 25, 1977)

yes/no	page(s)	
--------	---------	--

(e) <u>Wetlands.</u> If the decision is or includes undertaking or providing assistance for new construction located in wetlands, does the ROD include a finding "(1) that there is no practicable alternative to such construction, and (2) that the proposed action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands which may result from such use" (taking into account economic, environmental and other pertinent factors)? Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands, Section 2(a) (42 FR 26961, May 25, 1977)

(f) **Farmlands.** If the decision is or includes taking an action which converts prime or unique farmlands to other uses, does the ROD include a finding that there was no practicable alternative to such conversion (taking into account economic, environmental and other pertinent factors such as agency mission)? NEPA Section 101(b(4); Council on Environmental Quality, Memorandum for Heads of Agencies, August 11, 1980 (45 FR 59189, September 8, 1980

yes/no	page(s)	
-		

END